UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,399	04/22/2005	Akihiro Miyashita	38155	9124
52054 PEARNE & GO	7590 04/18/200 ORDON LLP	8	EXAM	IINER
1801 EAST 9T	H STREET	HSU, AMY R		
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patdocket@pearne.com dchervenak@pearne.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/532,399	MIYASHITA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AMY HSU	2622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	E DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	·	ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 7 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,6-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
· · <u> </u>	inor		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the constant of th	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the p	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	;
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 2622

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The amended claims add the limitation of "the second liquid crystal display part has a third display area and a fourth display area, the third display area displays all or a part of images caught by the first camera, and the fourth display area displays all or a part of image caught by the second camera". Since this is newly introduced to the claims, the amended claims necessitate a new search and final rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shirakawa (US 2003/0117501) in view of Moriya (US 2001/0003707).

Regarding Claim 1, Shirakawa teaches a digital camera, comprising: a first camera provided on a first surface of a casing member (*Fig. 9 reference number 10b*, "front camera"); a first liquid crystal display part provided on the first surface of the casing member (*Fig. 9 reference number 62*), a second camera provided on a second

Art Unit: 2622

surface in the back side of the first surface of the casing member (*Fig. 9 reference number 10a*); and a control unit that controls photographing operations of the first camera and the second camera (*Fig. 8 reference number 101, 102 "controller"*); wherein the first liquid crystal display part has a first display area and a second display area, the first display area displays all or a part of images caught by the first camera, and the second display area displays all or a part of image caught by the second camera (*Paragraph 37 and Fig. 3 shows the display can have a first and second area, in this case superimposed, where each area displays an image from the first and second camera*). However, Shirakawa does not teach the second surface in the back side of the first surface of the casing member has a second lcd, and the second liquid crystal display part has a third display area and a fourth display area, the third display area displays all or a part of images caught by the first camera, and the fourth display area displays all or a part of images caught by the second camera.

Digital cameras, especially in the form of cell phones, commonly have two displays, on opposite sides of the housing. Moriya is an example of a digital camera having a display on each opposite side of the housing (*Fig. 3 and 4*).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Shirakawa, a digital camera with two cameras on either opposing side and a display that shows images from both cameras, by applying another display, one with each camera that also shows images from both cameras. It is very well known, especially as applied to cell phones, to utilize two opposing side displays for image capturing by using one display to show the image about to be

captured to the image taking person, and the opposite display to show the image about to be captured to the subject on the opposite side of the image taker. Therefore it would have been obvious to apply the well known use of two displays to the invention of Shirakawa to have one display associated with each camera, for a total of two displays, and for each of the displays to show images from both cameras.

Page 4

Regarding Claim 2, Shirakawa teaches the digital camera according to claim 1, further comprising a camera selecting unit that selects a camera for photographing, wherein both the first camera and the second camera are selected by the camera selecting unit to photograph images by both the first camera and the second camera (paragraph 9 teaches the device captures a plurality of images). Shirakawa does not specifically teach when exactly the plurality of images is captured. However paragraph 30 teaches the two cameras are each separate fully functional cameras. It is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that each camera could independently capture images either at the same time, as if it were two separate devices, or be selected for one or the other camera to capture images. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teaching of Shirakawa by allowing the two cameras to photograph at the same time because each camera is facing an opposite direction and the images are superimposed so it would be useful for the user to see the images about to be captured and then press the capture button to capture exactly what is seen on the screen, which would be a capture from each camera at the same time.

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding Claim 3, Shirakawa teaches the digital camera according to claim 1, further comprising an image synthesizing unit that synthesizes the images photographed by the first camera and the second camera to photograph the synthesized image obtained by synthesizing the images photographed by the first camera and the second camera (*Fig. 8 reference number 103, image processor, synthesizes the image photographed by the first and second camera*).

Regarding Claim 6, Shirakawa teaches the digital camera according to claim 1, further comprising an image storing unit (*Fig. 8 reference number 6*) for storing a moving image (*paragraph 2 "moving images"*) photographed by the first camera or the second camera.

Regarding Claim 7, Shirakawa teaches a portable telephone equipped with a digital camera on which the digital camera according to any one of claims 1 to 3 and 6 is mounted (*Fig.* 9).

Conclusion

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2622

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Yasui (US 2004/0021792) teach a camera module involving two cameras facing opposite directions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMY HSU whose telephone number is (571)270-3012. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on 571-272-7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Amy Hsu Examiner Art Unit 2622

ARH 4/6/08

/Tuan V Ho/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622